

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATE OF AMERICA

v.

Case No. 04-327
GP-4443

FILED

MAR 11 2009

ANTHONY N. JACKSON

MOTION AND REQUEST FOR CONCURRENT SENTENCE

Comes Now, ANTHONY N. JACKSON, who respectfully submits in
this Honorable Court, the above captioned motion for the
following reasons:

1. On or about June 27, 2004, the Movant was charged and
later convicted of (robbery, ^{dismissed} aggravated assault,) et al., charges
and later given a 3-to-6 year prison sentence. (for a firearm)

2. On or about June 29, the Movant was convicted of
being in possession of two firearms as a convicted felon in
violation of 18 U.S.C. § 922.(g)(1).

3. The Movant was given a federal prison sentence that
exceeded his state prion sentence, and in the interest of
justice, Movant is requesting that his sentences to be
merged and run concurrent.

4. The Movant is relying on 18 U.S.C § 3584 (a), as
quoted below:

Multiple sentence of imprisonment. "(a)
imposition of concurrent or consecutive
terms.--If multiple terms of imprisonment
are imposed on a a defendant at the same
time, or if a term of imprisonment is
imposed on a defendant who is already
subject to an undischarged term of
imprisonment, the term may run concurrently
or consecutively, except that the term
may not run consecutive for an attempt
and for another offense that was the sole
objective of the attempt. Multiple terms
of imprisonment imposed at different times
run consecutively unless the court orders
that the terms are to run concurrently."

AND NOW, THIS 14th DAY OF
March 09, IT IS HEREBY
ORDERED THAT THE WITHIN
MOTION IS DENIED.

G. L. Lancaster
GARY L. LANCASTER,
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE